

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/656,030                       | 09/05/2003      | Martin Riedi         | 1.246.03                        | 8471             |  |
| 7                                | 7590 04/21/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                        |                  |  |
| MALLOY & MALLOY, P.A.            |                 |                      | MISKA, VIT W                    |                  |  |
| 2800 S.W. This<br>Historic Coral |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER                    |                  |  |
| Miami, FL 33                     | <b>9</b>        |                      | 2841<br>DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005 |                  |  |
|                                  |                 |                      |                                 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | A   | pplication No.   | Applicant(s)  |     |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|-----|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 1   | 0/656,030  | RIEDI ET AL   |     |  |  |  |
|   |   | E   | xaminer  | Art Unit  |     |  |  |  |
|   |   | Vi  | it W. Miska  | 2841  |     |  |  |  |
| The MA<br>Period for Reply  | ILING DATE of this commun   | nication appear   | s on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address  |     |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON  - If the period for re  - Failure to reply with Any reply receiver | DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>e may be available under the provisions<br>THS from the mailing date of this com-<br>ply specified above is less than thirty (i<br>ply is specified above, the maximum si<br>thin the set or extended period for reply | IICATION.<br>s of 37 CFR 1.136(a)<br>munication.<br>30) days, a reply with<br>tatutory period will ap<br>y will, by statute, caus | S SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT  In no event, however, may a reply be the statutory minimum of thirty (30) on the statutory minimum of thirty statutory may be seen the application to become ABANDO of this communication, even if timely for the statutory may be statutory may be statutory may be statutory may be statutory minimum of the statutory may be statutory minimum of the statutory minimum | timely filed  lays will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communicatio  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | n.  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  | •   |     |  |  |  |
| 1) Respons  | sive to communication(s) file   | ed on .   |  |   |     |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |   |     |  |  |  |
| 3)☐ Since th  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit  |   |  |   |     |  |  |  |
| closed in   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |   |     |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Cla  | aims  |   |  | ·   |     |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)   | 1-27 is/are pending in the e above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 10-27 is/are rejected. 10-27 is/are objected to. 10-27 are subject to restrict are subject.  | are withdrawn f   |  |   |     |  |  |  |
| Application Pape  | rs  |   |  |   |     |  |  |  |
| 9) The spec   | ification is objected to by th  | ne Examiner.  |  |   |     |  |  |  |
| 10)∐ The draw   | ring(s) filed on is/are   | : a)□ accepte   | ed or b) objected to by th   | e Examiner.   |     |  |  |  |
|   |   |   | wing(s) be held in abeyance. S   |   |     |  |  |  |
| _   |   |   | is required if the drawing(s) is a liner. Note the attached Office.  | objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(<br>ce Action or form PTO-152.  | d). |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35   | U.S.C. § 119  |   |  | •   |     |  |  |  |
| a) □ All b<br>1. □ Ce<br>2. □ Ce<br>3. □ Ce<br>ap   | DOME * c) None of:  Pertified copies of the priority  Pertified copies of the priority  Popies of the certified copies  Poplication from the Internation  | documents had documents had of the priority on all Bureau (P  | ave been received in Application and the december of the decem | ation No<br>ived in this National Stage   |     |  |  |  |
| Amalan  |   |   |  |   |     |  |  |  |
| <b>Attachment(s)</b><br>1) ⊠ Notice of Refere   | nces Cited (PTO-892)  |   | 4) Interview Summa   | ry (PTO-413)  |     |  |  |  |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   |   |   | Paper No(s)/Mail   | Date  |     |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔀 Information Disc<br>Paper No(s)/Mail   | osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or<br>Date <u>3/22/2004</u> .  | PTO/SB/08)  | 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:   | Patent Application (PTO-152)  |     |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/656,030 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 1. Claims 1-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDonald et al in view of Robertsen.
- 2. With respect to claim 1, the McDonald reference discloses a timepiece structured to determine elapsed time from a specific event, including housing 12, display 18 of elapsed time, processor 40 with chronographic application (see col. 5, line 56), activation assembly 30 cooperating with processor 40 for instigating monitoring of elapsed time from the specific event to the current time.
- 3. With regard to the remaining claims, McDonald further discloses display 18 including display 26 of current elapsed time in a plurality of intervals (at least two different pairs), memory 50 for storing an original input time of the specific event, including a capability of plurality of different original input times (see col. 8, line 8), the capability for memory 50 to automatically restore the original input time in the event of power failure (see col. 7, line 52).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,030

Art Unit: 2841

- McDonald et al does not disclose a restrictive designation application for limiting 4. selection of the specific event to a single event or to a plurality of events. This feature, however, is taught by Robertsen in a programmable timing device. At col. 4, lines 11ff patentee describes a LOCKOUT feature "whereupon the any further input pulses provided by anyone depressing the programming switch 10 are logically locked out and effectively presented from entering the up-down counter. This means that when the parent programs the device, after 30 seconds or some other design-selectable short interval, the child or other user cannot change the programmed count." It would therefore be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide this feature in the McDonald et al timepiece in order to prevent resetting or changing of the original input time events and to make such entries permanent. Because both references are directed to time measuring devices, such modification of the McDonald et al device with the teaching of Robertsen would be further rendered obvious. The teaching of Robertsen would be applied to McDonald et al for limiting either a single event (the primary feature thereof), or to a plurality of events, as suggested at col. 8, line 8.
- 5. Regarding claim 14, Robertsen further suggests a predetermined time period (30 seconds), as noted above, subsequent to which resetting is restricted. This feature would likewise be an obvious modification in McDonald et al to allow the user a predetermined time period during which resetting and changes to the input event time could be made.

Page 3

6. With respect to claims 8-11, 20,21, 26 and 27, the limited access application structured to restrict access to the memory and the input times stored therein is met in McDonald et al with EEPROM 50 being inaccessible except when access is defeated by entry of data thereto by means of keyboard 28.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vit W. Miska whose telephone number is 571-272-2108. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, K. Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VM 4/15/2005

Vit Miska Primary Examiner